

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/645,206	9/645,206 08/25/2000		Gordon Bremer	061607-1300	4403	
24504	7590	06/30/2004		EXAMINER		
THOMAS,	KAYDE	N, HORSTEMEY	BARNIE, REXFORD N			
100 GALLE	RIA PAR	KWAY, NW				
STE 1750				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA,	GA 303	39-5948		2643	X	

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

الأدفا	

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/645,206		BREMER ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	,
REXFORD N BARNIE		2643	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she	set with the correspondence address	
There final r condi	REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONE efore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment or rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amen ition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); on the compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	of this application. A proper reply to a different which places the application is	in
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a	a) or b)]	•
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	•	•
b) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS: ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO M 706.07(f).	from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
fee hav fee und (2) as s	extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petitic ve been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corrected of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory paset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	esponding amount of the fee. The appropriat period for reply originally set in the final Office	e extension action; or
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid		
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a	a) \square they raise new issues that would require further consideration and	/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b	b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	,	
(с	 they are not deemed to place the application in better form for application in better form for application in better form for application. 	peal by materially reducing or simplify	ing the
(d	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding NOTE:	number of finally rejected claims.	
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if subnanceling the non-allowable claim(s).	nitted in a separate, timely filed amer	ndment
5.⊠	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has application in condition for allowance because: see attached paper.	been considered but does NOT place	ce the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directe raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	d SOLELY to issues which were new	vly
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed.		n
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
	Claim(s) allowed:	•	
	Claim(s) objected to:		
	Claim(s) rejected:		
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disap	pproved by the Examiner.	
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Pa	per No(s).	
10.		RiPamie	
		REXFORD BARNIE	

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENT

The applicant argued that the combination fails to renders the claimed subject matter

obvious including Agazzi because Agazzi fails to teach the subject matter by

associating a functionality with the plurality of capacitors with echo- or cross-talk" in (see

pages 1-7).

The applicant has attacked the references individually when the explanation as set forth

in the rejection was based on a combination of references. Furthermore, Agazzi was

applied to a relay or switch in conjunction with capacitors, which can be activated to

reduce cross-talk or echo. Fig. 3 embodifies the invention of Agazzi and thus the

capacitors in conjunction with the switch would provide the intended motivation or goal

of the invention.

The explanation as set forth in the rejection of the claimed subject matter if believed

proper and permissible because the combination renders obvious the claimed invention

because there is a motivation for the combination.

June 24, 2004

REXFORD BARNIE PRIMARY EXAMINER